

\$~13

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 260/2012

CHATAR SINGH ..... Appellant  
Through: Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. .... Respondents  
Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sahay, Advocate  
for R-1/LAC  
Mr. Vikas Sood, Advocate for  
Ms. Anusuya Salwan, Advocate  
for R-2/DSIDC

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR**

**ORDER**  
**03.10.2012**

%

**CM No.17385/2012 (u/S 5 of Limitation Act, 1963 r/w Sec. 151  
CPC for condoning delay)**

The delay is of twenty three days' in filing the accompanying appeal. The reason for the delay as disclosed in Paragraph No.6 of the instant application is that appellant had not received any communication from his counsel regarding passing of the impugned order.

Notice.

Mr. Sanjeev Sahay & Mr. Vikas Sood, Advocates, accept notice of the application on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 respectively.

The reason put-forth in Paragraph No.6 of the application is found to be sufficient one and hence, the delay of twenty three days' in

Signature Not Verified  
Digitally Signed  
By:AMULYA

LA.APP No. 260/2012

Page 1

2

Application is disposed of.

**LA.APP. 260/2012**

Notice.

Mr. Sanjeev Sahay & Mr. Vikas Sood, Advocates, accept notice of the application on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 respectively.

Upon hearing and on perusal of the impugned judgment which relies upon a decision of a Coordinate bench of this Court in LA.APP No. 266/2008, '*Jai Singh Vs. UOI & anr.*', rendered on 23<sup>rd</sup> August, 2011, this Court finds no infirmity or illegality in the impugned order. As a result thereof, this appeal is dismissed, with no order as to costs.

  
(SUNIL GAUR)  
JUDGE

**OCTOBER 03, 2012**

rs