

32

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 6781/2008 & CM No.17498/2012

RAVINDER KUMAR MITTAL & ORS. Petitioners

Through : Mr. D. Ghosh, Adv. for
Ms. Nandni Sahni, Adv. for the Petitioners.

versus

UOI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Sanjay Pathak, Ms. Navlin Swain &
Mr. Govind Kumar, Adv. for L&B.
Ms. Sangeeta Chandra, Adv. for DDA.

+

W.P.(C) 6782/2008 & CM No.17686/2012

ROHIT RAJ CHHABRA & ORS. Petitioners

Through : Mr. D. Ghosh, Adv. for
Ms. Nandni Sahni, Adv. for the Petitioners.

versus

UOI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Neeraj Chaudhari, CGSC for UOI.
Mr. Arun Birbal, Advocate for GNCTD.
Ms. Sangeeta Chandra, Adv.
for DDA.

+

W.P.(C) 11109/2009 & CM No.18385/2012

K.K. GUPTA & ORS. Petitioners

Through : Ms. Rekha Palli & Ms. Punam Singh, Adv.

versus

33
UOI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Neeraj Chaudhari, CGSC for UOI.
Mr. Arun Birbal, Adv. for GNCTD.

+

W.P.(C) 11583/2009

NARAIN KUMAR & SONS & ORS.

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. D. Ghosh, Adv. for
Ms. Nandni Sahni, Adv. for the Petitioners.

versus

UOI & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through : Ms. Reeta Kaul, Adv. for the UOI.
Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak,
Advocate for GNCTD.

+

W.P.(C) 367/2010

MANGAT RAM

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Manoj Sharma, Adv.

versus

UOI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Neeraj Chaudhari, CGSC for UOI.
Mr. Arun Birbal, Adv. for GNCTD.
Ms. Sangeeta Chandra, Adv. for DDA.

+

W.P.(C) 2815/2010

SHEELA DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate.

versus

W.P. (C) Nos. 6781-6782/2008 & connected matters

Page 2 of 3

34
UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak,
Advocate for GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI


ORDER


09.11.2012

%
The new policy has come into being. The cause of action is now stated to be the grievance qua this policy. We may notice that the prayers made earlier in this writ petitions were to notify a rehabilitation policy.

In view of the aforesaid learned counsels for the petitioners seek to withdraw the writ petitions and the applications with liberty to challenge the new policy in accordance with law. Liberty granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.


SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, J.


VIPIN SANGHI, J.

NOVEMBER 09, 2012
b'nesh