

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

3.

+

CRL.M.C. 2910/2012

SACHIN KUMAR SARAF
Through

..... Petitioner
Mr. Vinod Sharma, Advocate.
Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE & ANR

Through

..... Respondents
Mr. Manoj Ohri, APP for State with
SI Ajeet Kumar, EOW, Delhi Police.
Mr. Anil Soni with Mr. Neeraj
Kumar, Advocates for R-2/
Complainant.
Mr. Satish Kumar, R-2/Complainant
in person.

AND

5.

+

CRL.M.C. 2295/2012

LAUREL WOOD PVT. LTD. & ORS.

Through

..... Petitioners
Mr. S.K. Sharma with Mr. Puneet
Relan, Mr. Prayas Aneja and
Mr. Milan Deep Singh, Advocates

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT & ORS.

Through

..... Respondents
Mr. Manoj Ohri, APP for State with
SI Ajeet Kumar, EOW, Delhi Police.



Mr. Anil Soni with Mr. Neeraj
Kumar, Advocates for R-2/
Complainant.
Mr. Satish Kumar, R-2/Complainant
in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

ORDER

% **04.10.2012**

Present two petitions have been filed under Section 482 Cr. P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 14/2008 registered with Police Station Economic Offences Wing, Delhi under Sections 406/420/409/120B IPC on the ground that the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties.

Learned counsel for the parties in Crl.M.C. 2295/2012 have drawn attention of this Court to Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated 2nd June, 2012 executed between the parties. They point out that on the basis of the said settlement, petitioner-accused had been admitted to bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Outer District, Rohini Courts, Delhi on 2nd June, 2012.

Present petitions for quashing are supported by affidavit and no objection certificate of respondent no. 2-complainant. Mr. Satish Kumar, respondent no. 2-complainant is personally present in Court and he has been identified by IO/SI Ajeet Kumar. He states today he has received the balance sum of ₹ 10,000/- by way of a demand draft bearing No. 001605 dated 6th September, 2012 drawn upon HDFC Bank Ltd., K.G. Marg, New



Delhi in full and final settlement of his dues as mentioned in aforesaid MOU. It is pertinent to mention that the aforesaid demand draft has been furnished by petitioner-M/s. Laurel Wood Pvt. Ltd. Mr. Satish Kumar also states that in view of the settlement he is not interested in pursuing the aforesaid FIR.

Mr. Satish Kumar, respondent on. 2/complainant also undertakes to this Court that he would withdraw the civil suit being CS(OS) No. 2072/2009 pending before Original Side of this Court on or before 12th October, 2012.

The aforesaid undertaking is accepted by this Court and Mr. Satish Kumar and M/s. Swastik Healthcare Services Pvt. Ltd. are bound by the same.

Consequently, this Court sees no purpose in continuing the present proceedings any further and accordingly, FIR No. 14/2008 registered with Police Station Economic Offences Wing, Delhi under Sections 406/420/409/120B IPC as well as any other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

At this stage, learned counsel for parties voluntarily states that Mr. Sachin Kumar Saraf, M/s. Laurel Wood Pvt. Ltd. and Mr. Satish Kumar would deposit ₹50,000/- each with Delhi Legal Services Authority within two weeks. The aforesaid statements are accepted by this Court.

The petitions stand disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Order dasti.


MANMOHAN, J

OCTOBER 04, 2012

rn

CRL.M.C. 2910/2012 & 2295/2012

Page 3 of 3