

\$~43 & 13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 360/2012

PRAN GANJU

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms Meera Mathur, Adv.

versus

SUDHIR KRISHNA THR UNION OF
INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr B.V. Niren, CGSC with Mr Prasouk
Jain, Adv. for R-1 & 2.

Mr Achal Gupta, Adv. for R-3.

AND

+ CONT.CAS(C) 361/2012

ANJALI VARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms Meera Mathur, Adv.

versus

SUDHIR KRISHNA THR UNION OF
INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Jatan Singh, CGSC with Mr Tushar
Singh, Adv. for R-1&2.

Mr Achal Gupta, Adv. for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

ORDER

%

01.11.2012


Mr B.V. Niren and Mr Jatan Singh, who appear for respondent nos. 1 and 2 in contempt petition nos. 360/2012 and 361/2012 respectively, contend that even though the contempt petitions are beyond limitations, the respondents are agreeable to the directions being issued in the present

contempt petitions which were issued by this Court in WP(C) No. 4989/2012.

Ms Mathur, who appears for the petitioner, has been shown the copy of the order passed in the said writ petition. She is agreeable to the contempt petitions being disposed of in terms of the directions contained in WP(C) 4989/2012 vide order dated 17.08.2012.

It is ordered accordingly.

The contempt petitions are disposed of.



RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

NOVEMBER 01, 2012

kk