

7

\$~8 & 9

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CM(M) 125/2011

KRISHAN LAL BATRA & SONS ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prakash Kumar, proxy counsel  
for Mr. Jitender Vashisht, Adv.

versus

MK SALES CORP & ORS ..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Beenashaw Soni, Adv.

AND

+ CM(M) 126/2011

KRISHAN LAL BATRA ..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Prakash Kumar, proxy counsel  
for Mr. Jitender Vashisht, Adv.

versus

MK SALES CORP & ORS ..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Beenashaw Soni, Adv.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.L. MEHTA**

**ORDER**

% **01.10.2012**

These petitions seek assailing order dated 7th January, 2011 whereby the execution filed by the petitioner/decreed holder was adjourned sine die. It is a matter of record that RFA No.304/2009 was filed by the JDs against the judgment and decree and the said RFA is pending in this Court. The said RFA


Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA  
Certify that the digital file and  
physical file have been compared and  
the digital data is as per the physical  
file and no page is missing.

8

was admitted subject to the JD depositing the decretal amount. It is undisputed that not only the said amount has been deposited, but the petitioner has withdrawn the same in terms of the order dated 1st June, 2011 of this Court passed in the said RFA. That being so, there does not appear to be any illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

The petitions are dismissed.

  
M.L. MEHTA, J

OCTOBER 01, 2012/skw