(2)

$~24-33, 35-39, 67-71

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

\+ LA.APP.24/2009

RAJ SINGH & ORS. ..... Appellant
Through:

versus

UOI & ANR. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.25/2009

CHOTU & ANR. ..... Appellants
Through:

versus

UOI & ANR. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.34/2009

SHRI BRAHMA ..... Appellant
Through:

versus

UOI & ANR. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed By:AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and physical file have been compared and the digital data is as per the physical file and no page is missing.

(3)

+ LA.APP.36/2009

RISHI RAJ RANA & ANR. ..... Appellants
Through:

versus

UOI & ANR. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.47/2009

M.P.GUPTA ..... Appellant
Through:

versus

UOI & ANR. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.48/2009

RAGHUBIR SINGH ..... Appellant
Through:

versus

UOI & ANR. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.55/2009

BHULLAN @ BHULE & ANR. ..... Appellants
Through:

(4)

versus

UOI & ANR. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.56/2009

M.P.GUPTA & SONS .....Appellants
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.57/2009

MEHAR SINGH & ORS. .....Appellants
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.58/2009

SANJEEV TUSHIR .....Appellants
Through:

(5)

Versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.127/2009

JAI NARAYAN & ORS .....Appellants
Through:

Versus

UOI & ANR. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.85/2009

LAKHI RAM .....Appellant
Through:

Versus

UOI & ANR. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.78/2009

GURDEV SINGH .....Appellant
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.77/2009

RAJ SINGH (D) THRU LRS .....Appellants
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.76/2009

NISHA GUPTA .....Appellant
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.340/2010

RATTAN SINGH ..... Appellant
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate
and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

(7)

versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate, Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI. Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.341/2010

CHARAN SINGH & ORS. ..... Appellants
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate, Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI. Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.342/2010

RAGHUBIR SINGH ..... Appellant
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate, Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI. Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.343/2010

ANGOORI & ORS. ..... Appellants
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

\+ LA.APP.344/2010

HEM CHANDER SHARMA DECD.
THR LRs ..... Appellant
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate
and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

UOI & ORS. ..... Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

ORDER

% 02.06.2011

1. The instant appeals pertain to lands situated in the revenue estate of village Ghevra, and the notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894 with which I am concerned was issued on 6.2.2003.

2. The Reference Court has assessed the compensation @ ₹1,18,367/- besides other statutory benefits as per the Land Acquisition Act 1894.

3. Deciding a batch of appeals lead matter being LA.APP

No.489/2008 *Chiranji Lal Vs. UOI & Anr.* today itself, with reference to a decision dated 19.12.2008 passed by a Division Bench deciding LA.APP.No.193/2006 *Pratap Singh (through LRs) Vs. UOI & Ors.* I have determined the fair market value of the lands in village Ghevra at ₹4,03,425/- per bigha as of 6.2.2003.

4. The appeals stand disposed of decreeing the same enhancing the compensation payable to the appellants @₹4,03,425/- per bigha; additionally decreed that the appellants would be entitled to the statutory benefits as per the Land Acquisition Act 1894 including the decision of the Supreme Court reported as 93 (2001) DLT 569 *Sunder Vs. Union of India*.

5. The appellants would also be entitled to proportionate costs.

6. Pending applications, if any, in the appeals stand disposed of in terms of the prayer made in the application.

PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

June 2, 2011
dk