

46.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 866/2011 & C.M. Nos. 21480-81/2011

ARUN BANSAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja with Mr. Vaibhav
Jairaj, Advocates.

versus

CHETAN V SANGHI & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Renuka Arora, Advocate for the
respondent-DSIIDC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

28.11.2011

%

The grievance of the petitioner is that despite the order dated 29.11.2010 passed in W.P.(C.) No. 3853/2010, whereby the Court had directed that the allotment of the petitioner's plot shall not be cancelled on account of the construction over the plot in question not having been raised as yet, ^{the} respondents have issued final notice regarding non-construction on 03.11.2011.

Learned counsel for the respondents, who puts appearance on advance communication, submits that this notice has been issued inadvertently.

In view of the aforesaid position, this petition does not survive. It is, accordingly, disposed of. Let the proper intimation be issued to the petitioner regarding the withdrawal of the notice.



VIPIN SANGHI, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2011

'BSR'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.