

\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3920/2011**

SUMIT GUPTA & ORS.

Through

..... Petitioner
Mr. Anil Kumar Gupta, Mr. Piyush
Gupta, Advs. for P-1 to 6 with P-1 to
6 in person.

Mr. Ajay Garg, Adv. for P-
7/complainant with P-7 in person.

versus

STATE

Through

..... Respondent
Mr. Manoj Ohri, APP for State with
SI Rajni Chopra, PS CWC
Nanakpura.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

28.11.2011

Crl.M.A. 18477/2011

Exemption allowed subject to just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 3920/2011

This is a petition for quashing of FIR No. 11/2010 under Sections
498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS CWC, New Delhi.

The Petitioner No. 7/complainant Ms. Kirti Jain @ Kirti Gupta is
present in person and is identified by her counsel. She states that the
Petitioners and Complainant have entered into a Compromise. In terms of

CRL.M.C. 3920/2011

page 1 of 3

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

2

the settlement, statement with regard to first motion of divorce has been recorded. As part of full and final settlement of all the claims of the Petitioner No. 7, the Petitioner No. 1 has paid Rs. 70 lakhs out of which Rs. 45 lakhs have already been paid to her and Rs. 25 lakhs are lying deposited in the form of FDR before the learned Trial Court. She further states that in view of the settlement between the parties, she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Consequently, FIR No. 11/2010 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS CWC, New Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

The Petitioner No.7/complainant will be entitled to receive the amount of Rs. 25 lakhs along with the interest that may have accrued thereon lying before the learned Trial Court in the form of FDR, after the recording of the

3

statement of second motion for divorce by mutual consent.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

Mukta Gupta
MUKTA GUPTA, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2011
'ga'

Kirti...
(KIRTI)
Petitioner No. 7.

Identified
Aparna
Adv
D/139/90(B)

1 *Jurisdiction*

2 *[Signature]*

3 *Mangin Gupta*

4 *Niti Gupta*

5 *Shweta*

6 *Nidhi Singhania*

CRL.M.C. 3920/2011

page 3 of 3

Identified

Piyush Gupta
adv.

D/1684/2008