

\$~6

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.REV.P. 743/2010 & CrI.M.A. 17882/2010 (stay)

SATYA PRAKASH CHAUHAN

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. B.S. Chauhan, Adv.

versus

MEENA DEVI

..... Respondent

Through

Mr. Mukesh Gupta, APP for State with
SI Alok Bajpai PS S.P Badli

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER


%

04.11.2011

By this petition the Petitioner had challenged the order dated 12th August, 2010 whereby though the public prosecutor had closed the prosecution evidence an application was filed by the complainant under Section 193 Cr.P.C. to take cognizance against one Ms. Meena and her father. When the application was taken up on 12th August, 2010 none appeared on behalf of the complainant and the Learned Trial Court held that application under Section 193 Cr.P.C. was not maintainable as the application was not even endorsed by the prosecution.

Learned counsel for the parties inform that the trial has concluded and the accused have been acquitted. In view of the fact that trial has concluded, the present petition has become infructuous.

Petition and application are dismissed as infructuous.


MUKTA GUPTA,J

NOVEMBER 04, 2011

'ga'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.