

\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 8304/2011

MURARI LAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Malaya Chand, Mr.M.S.Bhatia & Mr.S.P.
Mitra, Advocates

versus

DELHI JAL BOARD AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sumeet Pushkarna, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

%

25.11.2011

CM No.18766/2011 (u/S 151 CPC)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CM No.18764/2011 (u/S 151 CPC)

1. There is delay of 11 days' in re-filing of the accompanying petition.
2. Notice.
3. Mr. Sumeet Pushkaran, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.
4. For the reasons stated in Para-2 & 3 of the application, the same is allowed and delay of 11 days' in re-filing the petition is condoned.
5. Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) No.8304/2011

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition on merits in view of the fact that the petitioner has already retired on 30.9.2011, but prays that till March, 2012 petitioner be not evicted from the premises in question, as he has two school going daughters, who are studying in nearby school.

2. Notice.

3. Mr. Sumeet Pushkaran, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents and states that there are others in the queue who are also waiting for the allotment and they should not be deprived of the legitimate expectation of being allotted the premises in question.

2. Upon weighing the equities, it is deemed appropriate to permit the petitioner to remain in the premises in question till 31.12.2011, subject to payment of the outstanding dues, including the current occupation charges.

3. With directions as aforesaid, this petition stands disposed of.

4. Copy of this order be given *dasti* to counsel representing both sides.

CM No.18765/2011 (u/S 151 CPC)

Dismissed as infructuous.


SUNIL GAUR, J

NOVEMBER 25, 2011

rs