

\$~A-3 & A-4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CM(M) 1121/2010 & CM No.15754/2010 (for stay)

ALOE FIBRE-TECH PVT LTD & ORS Petitioners
Through: Mr.Parag Chawla, Advocate.

versus

BRT LTD Respondent
Through: Mr.Nakul Sachdeva, Advocate.

AND

C.R.P. 187/2010

BRT LTD Petitioner
Through: Mr.Nakul Sachdeva, Advocate.

versus

ALOE FIBRE-TECH PVT LTD & ORS Respondents
Through: Mr.Parag Chawla, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

%

ORDER
15.11.2011

Order impugned before this Court is the order dated 12.8.2010 wherein in a suit filed by the plaintiff i.e. B.R.T. Ltd. under Order XXXVII of the Code of Civil Procedure (hereinafter referred to as the Code) conditional leave to defend had been granted to the defendants; the condition was that 100% of the suit amount be

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

6

deposited by the defendant in the Court. In an appeal filed before the High Court this condition was modified and the 100% direction had been reduced to 50% vide order of the High Court dated 22.9.2010. The said amount has since been deposited in the trial court and the trial is in progress. The status of the case as on date is that the issues have been framed and the matter is fixed for plaintiff evidence.

These are two revision petitions which have been filed by both the parties. Both the parties had been aggrieved by the impugned order.

Both the parties now state that they are not pressing the present petitions; which are accordingly disposed of.



INDERMEET KAUR, J

NOVEMBER 15, 2011
nandan