

66# \$~

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 3859/2011

VISHAL GOSWAMI & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. R.P. Luthra and Ms. Jasmine
Detwani, Advocates with Petitioners
in person.

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Mukesh Gupta, APP for the State
with SI Hukum Singh, PS Seema
Puri.
Mr. Pavitra Mohan Sharma, Advocate
for Respondent No. 2 with
Respondent No. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

23.11.2011

Crl. M.A. No. 18263/2011 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Crl. M.C. No. 3859/2011

This is a petition for quashing of FIR No. 96/2010 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 registered at PS Seemapuri, Delhi.

The Complainant/Respondent No. 2 Ms. Sarika Sabharwal is present in person and is identified by her counsel. She states that the Petitioners and Respondent No.2/Complainant have entered into a Compromise. In terms of the settlement, marriage between the Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 2/Complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent

CRL.M.C. 3859/2011

Page 1 of 2

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 23.08.2024 17:09:51
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

2

on 5th September, 2011. As full and final settlement of all the claims of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No. 1 has to pay ₹8.50 lakhs out of which ₹5.50 lakhs have already been paid to her and ₹3 lakhs have been paid to her vide Demand Draft No. 849720 dated 5th October, 2011 drawn on Canara Bank, Rohini. She further states that in view of the settlement between the parties, she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Consequently, FIR No. 96/2010 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 registered at PS Seemapuri, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

Mukta Gupta
MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 23, 2011

A. Swami
P. Swami
P. Swami
A. P. Swami
(A. P. Swami)
22/9/11
CRL.M.C. 3859/2011

Received DD - 849720

S. S. S. S. S.
Page 2 of 2

AVITRA MOHAN MAHA
ADV.
01/11/2011