

22# \$~

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.REV.P. 564/2009 & Crl. M.As. 4333/2011 & 4334/2011

TEJ BAHADUR RAI & ANR. Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

PARVEEN KUMAR JAIN & ANR. Respondents

Through: Respondent No. 1 in person.

Mr. Mukesh Gupta, APP.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

14.11.2011

Despite pass-over none is present on behalf of the Petitioners.

Even on the last date none was present on behalf of the Petitioners.

In this case execution of non-bailable warrants against the Petitioners was stayed vide order dated 22nd March, 2010 on the statement of the Petitioners that they were trying to arrive at a settlement.

Respondent No. 1 who is present in person also states that though initially payments were made, however, thereafter no payment is being made and the cheques issued the Petitioners have already ~~been~~ bounced.

Since none is present on behalf of the Petitioners today and none was present on the last date of hearing, petition and applications are dismissed for non-prosecution.



MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 14, 2011

'vn'

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.