

29

26# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 568/2009 & Crl. M.As. 4331/2011 & 4332/2011

TEJ BAHADUR RAI & ANR. Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

PARVEEN KUMAR JAIN & ANR. Respondents

Through: Respondent No. 1 in person.

Mr. Mukesh Gupta, APP.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

14.11.2011

Despite pass-over none is present on behalf of the Petitioners.

Even on the last date none was present on behalf of the Petitioners.

In this case execution of non-bailable warrants against the Petitioners was stayed vide order dated 22nd March, 2010 on the statement of the Petitioners that they were trying to arrive at a settlement.

Respondent No. 1 who is present in person also states that though initially payments were made, however, thereafter no payment is being made and the cheques issued the Petitioners have already been bounced.

Since none is present on behalf of the Petitioners today and none was present on the last date of hearing, petition and applications are dismissed for non-prosecution.


MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 14, 2011

'vn'