

\$~A-32

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 474/2011 & CM No.21459-60/2011

MANGAT RAM & ANR Petitioner
Through: None.

versus

TARA CHAND & ORS Respondent
Through

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER
28.11.2011

%

Matter has been called twice. None has appeared for the petitioner.

Impugned order is the order dated 10.8.2011 vide which the eviction petition of the landlord had been decreed as no leave to defend had been filed by the tenant within the prescribed period of limitation as prescribed under Section 14(1)(e) read with Section 25-B of the Delhi Rent Control Act.

Record shows that the summons had been served upon the tenant on 21.10.2010; leave to defend had been filed on 29.11.2010 which was beyond the prescribed period; there being no leave to defend the petition was decreed.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

2

In view of the judgment of Prithpal Singh Vs. Satpal Singh (Dead)
through its legal heirs reported in (2010) 2 SCC 15 the impugned
judgment suffers from no infirmity.

Dismissed.



INDERMEET KAUR, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2011
nandan