

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7971/2011

RAJENDER SINGH AND ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Pradeep Kumar Arya, Mr. Narinder Chaudhary, Mr. Praveen Dabas, Mr. Rahul Dabas, Mr. Manish Dabas, Mr. Rana Kunal, Mr. Ashish Sharma & Mr. Shobhit Mittal, Advocates

versus

LT . GOVERNOR OF DELHI AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

%

14.11.2011

1. The innocuous prayer made in this petition is to direct the respondents to carry out consolidation of Village- Ladpur, Delhi, in respect of which Notification (Annexure-A) was issued way back in the year 1993 and thereafter, despite various Representations and informations sought through Right to Information Act, 2005, no positive response is forthcoming from the respondents as to when consolidation of Village- Ladpur, Delhi, would be completed.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that consolidation of adjoining 11 villages, as referred to in Notification (Annexure-A),

W.P.(C) No.7971/2011

Page 1

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

2

is either complete or is going to complete but for this village, it has not properly commenced despite the order dated 31.12.2009 (Annexure-R) of the concerned SDM, Delhi and this is affecting petitioners' right to extension of Laldora in respect of which all the villagers have already made Representation (Annexure-Q) to the concerned Deputy Commissioner but to no avail.

3. Since the direction sought for in this petition is quite innocuous, therefore, this petition is disposed of *in limini* with a direction to respondents No. 5 & 6 to expeditiously carry out the consolidation of Village- Ladpur, Delhi as well. A direction is also issued to respondent No. 5 in particular to decide the Representation dated 7.12.2009 (Annexure-Q) within a period of 3 months from today by a speaking order and after hearing representatives of the petitioners, if required.

4. Respondents No. 5 & 6 be apprised of this order forthwith.

5. Copy of this order be given *dasti* to counsel for petitioners.


SUNIL GAUR, J

NOVEMBER 14, 2011

rs