

69#~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1501/2011 & CrI. M.A. No. 12887/2011 (Stay)

SANJAY CHAUDRY & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Anuj Soni, Advocate with
Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, Additional
Standing Counsel for the State with SI
Manohar Lal, PS Punjabi Bagh.
Mr. S.S. Chugh, Advocate for
Respondent No. 3 with Respondent
No. 3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

03.11.2011

%

By this petition the Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 290/2011 under Sections 288/304A IPC registered at PS Punjabi Bagh, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No. 3.

The allegations as set out in the FIR are that two sons of the Complainant/Respondent No. 3, that is, Manoj aged about six years and Manish aged about three years were playing near the basement which was dug out and large quantity of mud had collected, and due to weight the wall gave fell on the two children resulting in their death.

W.P.(CRL) 1501/2011

Page 1 of 3

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 05.09.2024 17:03:50
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

The Complainant/Respondent No.3 Mr. Karan Sharma @ Bal Bahadur, father of the deceased children is present in person and is identified by his counsel and the Investigating Officer. He states that the Petitioners and Respondent No.3/Complainant have settled the matter and he has been adequately compensated. The Petitioners have agreed to pay a sum of Rs. 15 lakhs as compensation to the Respondent No. 3 out of which ₹10 lakhs have already been paid to him and ₹5 lakhs have been paid to him vide Demand Draft No. 388815 dated 22nd October, 2011 drawn on Corporation Bank, Sikanderpur. Respondent No. 3/Complainant further states that in view of the settlement between the parties, he does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, and as there is no legal impediment in quashing the abovementioned FIR, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Consequently, FIR No. 290/2011 under Sections 288/304A IPC registered at PS Punjabi Bagh, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are

hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition and the pending application are disposed of. Order dasti.

Mukta Gupta
MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 03, 2011

'vn'

21/11/11

I identify the Respondent/complainant
Karan Sharma.

(S. S. CHUGH)

1. Sanjay

2. ~~Atish~~

3. Sanjeet Ravi

20/11/11
20/11/11
13-p. Besh

W.P.(CRL) 1501/2011

Page 3 of 3