

\$~50 & 51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3847/2011

DEEPAK & ORS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Fizani Husain, APP for State
with SI Sunil PS Farsh Bazar
Mr. M.L. Yadav, Advocate for
respondents

And

+ CRL.M.C. 3851/2011

CHUNNA & ORS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. M.L. Yadav and Mr. Madan Lal
Gupta, Advocate

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Fizani Husain, APP for State
with SI Sunil PS Farsh Bazar

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.L. MEHTA

ORDER

%

23.11.2011

The petitioners and the respondents have filed cross cases against each other and these two petitions have been filed by them for quashing the FIRs registered against them.

In Crl.MC 3847/2011, the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.272/2011 under Sections 308/342/323/34 IPC Police Station Farsh Bazar, Delhi and in Crl. MC 3851/2011, the petitioners have prayed for quashing FIR No.273/2011 under Sections 308/342/323/34 IPC Police Station Farsh Bazar, Delhi. It is stated that all the parties are residents of same locality and have compromised the matter with each other. They stated that now they have no grudge or grievance against each other.

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 23.08.2024 17:09:51
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

2

FIR No.272/2011 was registered against Deepak son of Syam Sunder and Deepak son of Ram Avtar while FIR No.273/2011 was registered against Chunna son of Jamaluddin and Munna s/o Jamaluddin.

All the parties are present in person and identified by their respective counsel. It is submitted by learned counsel for the parties that since the parties are neighbors and have settled all their disputes inter se the abovementioned FIRs be quashed.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that parties are neighbours and have inter se settled their disputes, no useful purpose would be served in keeping in the aforementioned FIRs alive. Accordingly, both the petitions are is allowed and the aforementioned FIRs and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Both the petitions stand disposed of accordingly.


M.L. MEHTA,J

NOVEMBER 23, 2011
rd