

2 ✓
\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3494/2011

GAURAV CHOPRA Petitioner
Through : Mr. B.S. Sharma, Advocate.

versus

BSES RAJDHANI POWER LTD Respondent
Through : Mr. Deepak Bashta with Mr. Sunil
Kumar for Ms. Anjali Sharma,
Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.L. MEHTA

% **ORDER**
04.11.2011

Crl. M.A. No.12971/2011

This application is filed for preponement. Heard and allowed.

Crl. M.C. No.3494/2011

The matter is taken up today. I have heard the matter finally on merits.

The petitioner has disputed the payment as raised by the respondent and also challenged the impugned order dated 30th July, 2011 whereby he was directed to pay 30% of the demanded amount while being granted bail by the learned ASJ. It would in the interest of justice and in the fitness of things if the impugned order is modified to

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

the extent that the petitioner would deposit Rs.25,000/- against the impugned bill without prejudice to the rights and contentions of both the parties within two weeks from today. This is also without prejudice to the rights and contentions of both the parties on merits before the learned ASJ.

The petition is disposed of.

Dasti.



M.L. MEHTA,J

NOVEMBER 04, 2011

skw