

35# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1484/2011

PANKAJ GOEL

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Tania Singh, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Ohri, APP with Inspector
Seema Singh, PS CWC, Nanak Pura.
Mr. Rajeev Aggarwal, Advocate for
Respondent No. 2 with Respondent
No. 2 in person.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA****ORDER**

%

09.11.2011

This is a petition for quashing of FIR No. 104/2008 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS CAW Cell, Nanak Pura, New Delhi.

The Complainant/Respondent No. 2 Dr. Shirley Goel is present in person and is identified by the Investigating Officer and her counsel. She states that the Petitioners and Respondent No.2/Complainant have entered into a Compromise. In terms of the settlement, marriage between the Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 2/Complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No. 1 has to pay ₹25 lakhs

W.P.(CRL) 1484/2011***Page 1 of 2***

4

out of which ₹16.50 lakhs have already been paid to her and ₹8.50 lakhs have been paid today vide Pay Order No. 005305 dated 8th November, 2011 drawn on IDBI Bank, Ashok Vihar, Delhi. She further states that in view of the settlement between the parties, she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Consequently, FIR No. 104/2008 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS CAW Cell, Nanak Pura, New Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

Pamij
(petitioner no. 1)

Mukta Gupta
MUKTA GUPTA, J.

Shelly Goel
No. 3. NOVEMBER 09, 2011/'vn'
W.P.(CRL) 1484/2011

Om
No. 4
Sushma Gupta
No. 5.
I identify the petitioner Nos. 1, 3, 4 and 5
Om
Dil KA-6261

Recd. Page 2 of 2

DD No. 005305

Shelly Goel (Respondent-2)

I identify the content

Identified by *Shelly*

Sushil Kumar Pandey
DAN

BEHNSMAN
PS. CASE No. 100.
D-2895

Email no. D/456/2004