

71# \$~

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3550/2011**

**VARUN DHAMEJA &ORS.**

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Kunal Soni, Advocate with  
petitioners in person.

versus

**STATE & ANR.**

.... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Ohri, APP with SI  
Birender Singh, PS Patel Nagar.  
Mr. Himanshu Buttan, Advocate for  
Respondent No. 2 with the power of  
attorney of Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA**

**ORDER**

**24.10.2011**

%

By this petition the Petitioner seeks for quashing of FIR No. 697/2006 under Sections 406/498A/34 IPC registered at PS Patel Nagar, Delhi.

Ms. Neena Mahindru, mother and power of attorney of Ms. Ratna Mahindru is present in court. Copy of the power of attorney has been placed on record and it is stated that the original power of attorney has been placed before the learned Trial Court in HMA No. 520/2011 before the Court of Sunil Rana, Additional District Judge-III, Rohini West. She states that the matter between Ms. Ratna Mahindru and the Petitioners has been settled. In terms of the settlement, marriage between Ms. Ratna Mahindru/Respondent

***CRL.M.C. 3550/2011***

***Page 1 of 3***

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 28.08.2024 16:49:39  
Certify that the digital and physical file have  
been compared and the digital data is as per  
the physical file and no page is missing.

2

No. 2 and Mr. Varun Dhameja/Petitioner No. 1 has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No. 1 has to pay ₹10 lakhs out of which ₹8.5 lakhs have already been paid to her and ₹1.5 lakhs have been paid to her today in court vide Demand Draft No. 636237 dated 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2011 drawn on Corporation Bank, Noida. Power of Attorney of Respondent No. 2 further states that in view of the settlement between the parties, Respondent No. 2 does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

The Petitioners who are present in Court state that they do not have in their possession any of the educational certificates of the Respondent No. 2.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Consequently, FIR No. 697/2006 under Sections 406/498A/34 IPC registered at PS Patel Nagar, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are

hereby quashed.

Parties, who are duly identified by their respective counsels, have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

*Mukta Gupta*  
MUKTA GUPTA, J.

OCTOBER 24, 2011  
'vn'

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*

D. Dhameja

Identified the petitioner

*[Signature]*

Kunal Soni

Ent. P-245/08

CRL.M.C. 3550/2011

*Amendment. Draft.*

636237.

*Amendment of Act 1 here  
so there is only*

*Amendment*

*dt. 24.10.11.*

*Identify signatures of  
POA.*

Page 3 of 3

*[Signature]*

*Dec 12 2004*

*Identified*

*S.I. - Bindu Singh*

*P. S. Patel / Nagar*