

5# \$~

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CRL.M.C. 3328/2011

AVNISH BANSAL & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mukesh Hooda, Advocate with
Petitioners in person.

versus

STATE & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Ohri, APP with SI
Omprakash, PS Mandawali, Delhi.
Mr. Sudesh Sharma, Advocate for
Respondent No. 2 with complainant/
Respondent No. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

01.11.2011

%

This is a petition for quashing of FIR No. 219/2010 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Mandawali, Delhi.

The Complainant/Respondent No. 2 Ms. Kanisha Gupta, is present in person and is identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer. She states that the Petitioners and Respondent No.2/Complainant have entered into a Compromise. In terms of the settlement, marriage between the Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 2/Complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent on 19th July, 2011. As full and final settlement of all the claims of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No. 1 has to pay ₹8.50 lakhs out of which ₹6.50 lakhs have already been paid to her

CRL.M.C. 3328/2011

Page 1 of 2

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 28.08.2024 16:49:39
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

4
and ₹2 lakhs have been paid to her today in Court vide Demand Draft No. 067741 dated 1st October, 2011 drawn on Syndicate Bank, Bawana Road, Narela, Delhi. She further states that in view of the settlement between the parties, she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Consequently, FIR No. 219/2010 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Mandawali, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

उपस्थित मुक्ति गुप्ता

S.C. Bansal

नवम्बर 01, 2011/“vn”
कोर्टा रोड

CRL.M.C. 3328/2011

Sheela Bansal

Adamy

I identify the petitioners

Mukesh Hooda, Advocate
S.N. 5/223/01

Mukta Gupta
MUKTA GUPTA, J.

I identify the petitioners
SP-312
siomprakesh
B. Mandawali

Page 2 of 2

067741 (1/10/11)
RS- 200000/-
Received.
1/11/11