

\$~46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**+ **W.P.(CRL) 1322/2011****R O KANO & ORS**

..... Petitioner

Through

Mr. S.W. Haider, Ms. Neha Garg,
Advs. with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Through

Mr. Dayan Krishnan, ASC for State
with Inspector Manish Joshi, EOW.
Mr. S.Y. Jamal, Adv. for R-2 with R-
2 in person.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA****ORDER**

%

24.11.2011

By this petition the Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 418/2007 under Sections 406/420/120B IPC registered at PS Pandav Nagar, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2.

The Petitioner No.1 & 2 are present in Court and are identified by the learned counsel. The Petitioner No.3 is a company. The Petitioner No.1 is the authorized representative of the company and is identified by the learned counsel.

The complainant/Respondent No. 2 who is identified by the learned

W.P.(CRL) 1322/2011***page 1 of 3***

counsel and the Investigating Officer states that in view of the settlement between the parties, he does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Learned Additional Standing Counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer states that besides the Petitioners there are no other accused and besides the Respondent No.2 interest of no other victim is involved.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Consequently, FIR No. 418/2007 under Sections 406/420/120B IPC registered at PS Pandav Nagar, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that Petitioner No.1 & 2 will deposit a sum of Rs. 10,000/- each, and the learned counsel for the Respondent No.2 also states that Respondent No.2 will deposit a sum of Rs.

20,000/- with the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee within three weeks from today.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

Mukta Gupta
MUKTA GUPTA, J

NOVEMBER 24, 2011

'ga'

Rajamulgo (for Petitions
No. 1 & 3)

I identify
Petition
no. 1 & 2

(S.W. Haidw)
Adv
D/321/2011

Identify R.2.
Admal
Adv
D/2784/86

24/11/11 D-992
I.O. identify the
complainant.