

\$~12.

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ CONT.CAS(C) 618/2011
KISHORE MADHUKAR PAKNIKAR Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rudra Kahlon, Adv.
versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS Respondent
Through: Mr. Ravindu Agarwal, Adv. for
Respondents No.1 & 2.
Mr. Gaurav Tomar, Adv. for R-3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

ORDER
01.11.2011

%

Respondents No.1 to 3 are duly served. However, service on standing counsel for Union of India is awaited.

Though the present petition is registered as civil contempt, it is the submission of the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner be permitted to file a criminal contempt petition against the officers of respondents No.1 and 2, wherein all the necessary averments shall be set out.

In view of the aforesaid submission made by counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is permitted to be withdrawn while granting leave to the petitioner to file an appropriate petition as proposed by him.

HIMA KOHLI, J

NOVEMBER 01, 2011
'anb'

- Fresh CM 4258/12 (clarification to order on H/o. Re
to CM 4259/12 (Enamp.)
- Cont. Pet. disp. 1/11/11.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

\$~39

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CONT.CAS(C) 618/2011

KISHORE MADHUKAR PAKNIKAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Rudra Kahlon, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

ORDER

%

06.03.2012

CM No.4259/2012

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CM No.4258/2012

This application is filed by the petitioner praying *inter alia* for clarification of order dated 1.11.2011 wherein, the contempt petition was permitted to be withdrawn at the request of the petitioner while granting him leave to file an appropriate criminal contempt petition against the officers of respondents No.1 and 2.

CONT.CAS(C) 618/2011

Page 1 of 2

6

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the need to file the present application has arisen on account of the fact that the petitioner requires clarification from the Court as to whether permission under Section 15 stands granted to the petitioner to proceed to file a criminal contempt petition without seeking the permission from the Standing Counsel (Civil), Govt. of NCT of Delhi.

It is made clear that while passing order dated 1.11.2011, this Court had only granted leave to the petitioner to follow the process as prescribed in law to file a criminal contempt petition.

The application is disposed of.



HIMA KOHLI, J

MARCH 06, 2012
Sv/sk