

\$~2 to 4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1072/2011**

OM PRAKASH & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.S.K.Balain and Mr.
S.K.Baroha, Advocates.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Navin Sharma, APP.
Mr.Vikas Yadav, Advocate for
complainant.
ASI Gyan Prabha, PS Mehrauli.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1073/2011**

NISHA @NITU SHARMA & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.S.K.Balain and Mr.
S.K.Baroha, Advocates.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Navin Sharma, APP.
Mr.Vikas Yadav, Advocate for
complainant.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1074/2011**

VIRESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.S.K.Balain and Mr.
S.K.Baroha, Advocates.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr.Navin Sharma, APP.
Mr.Vikas Yadav, Advocate for
complainant.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.K. SHALI

ORDER

11.11.2011

%

Signature Not Verified


Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

1. These are three bail applications filed by the petitioners, Om Prakash and Premlata, father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant, Ms.Nisha, sister-in-law, Mr.Sunil Kumar, brother-in-law and Viresh Kumar, husband of the complainant in respect of FIR No.227/2011 u/s 498A/406/34 IPC registered by PS Mehrauli.
2. It has been contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the respondent No.2/complainant has already given in writing on 05.06.2010 that all her dowry articles have been collected by her from the matrimonial home and in case the same is misplaced, parents-in-law would not be responsible for the same. The learned counsel for the petitioners has contended since this is a matrimonial dispute the respondent No.2/complainant has registered the FIR with a view to blackmail the present petitioners.
3. Having regard to the facts of the case and the fact that the respondent No.2/complainant has already given in writing that all the jewellery and dowry articles have already been collected by her, I am inclined to admit the petitioners to anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest. Accordingly, all the petitioners in the event of their arrest shall^{be} released on bail on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/-

8

with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of IO/SHO. This shall be subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) Petitioners shall co-operate in the investigation as and when called upon by the IO.
 - (ii) They shall not threaten the complainant and the witnesses.
 - (iii) They shall not change their place of residence and shall not leave the National Capital Region of Delhi without the permission of Court.
 - (iv) Petitioners shall also keep their mobile number available with the IO.
4. The benefit of anticipatory bail will be available to the petitioners till the filing of the charge-sheet.
5. Petitions stand disposed of.



V.K. SHALI, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2011

mr

RD