

14

22.

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CM No.21068/201 (u/O 39 R 2A) in W.P.(C) 4868/2010

JAWAHAR LAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. B .K. Shahni, Advocate.

versus

MCD AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Yashish Chandra for Ms.
Maninder Acharya, Advocate for
MCD.

Mr. Ravi Bassi, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

22.02.2011

%

The parties were referred to the Mediation Cell of this Court. Mediation has been successful with the efforts of Mr. Y.S. Chauhan, Mediator. A settlement agreement signed by the parties and their counsels and the Mediator has been received.

The writ petition is disposed of in term of the said settlement agreement, binding the parties thereto and with no order as to costs.

CM No.2392/2011 (u/S 151 CPC).

In view of the settlement, this application is infructuous and is disposed of.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW,J

FEBRUARY 22, 2011

pp

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

- fresh CM 7306/11- modified
above order &
Settlement dt 28/1/11.
7307/11 - Exempt
7308/11 - Exempt.

35\$~

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 4868/2010

JAWAHAR LAL

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

Versus

MCD AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Yashish Chandra, Adv. for Ms.
Maninder Acharya, Advocate for R-1.
Counsel for the respondent No.2
(appearance not given).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

23.05.2011

CM Nos.7307-08/2011 (for exemption)

Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

CM No.7306/2011 (of the respondent No.2 for modification of the Settlement Agreement)


1. The writ petition was disposed of in terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties before the Mediation Cell of this Court. The respondent No.2 avers certain difficulties in implementation of the settlement and has sought modification of the order to the said extent.

2. It is deemed expedient that the said matter is considered by the same mediators who had been successful earlier in mediation. The applicant

respondent No.2 to appear before the Mediation Cell of this Court for the said purpose on 31st May, 2011 and to inform the petitioner of the same. If the petitioner does not appear, the Mediator / Mediation Cell to send the notice to the petitioner of the date of hearing before the Mediation Cell. If the matter remains unresolved, to be listed before the Court.

Dasti.

MAY 23, 2011
'gsr'



RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J