

28 \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2681/2011

RAJESH VERMA & ORS

..... Petitioner

Through

Ms. Sidhi Arora, Advocate with
petition in person.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Through

Mr. Manoj Ohri APP for the State
with SI Satish Yadav.

Mr. Dilip Kumar, Adv. for R-2 with
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

25.11.2011

%

This is a petition for quashing of FIR No. 3/2000 under Sections 498A/406 IPC registered at PS Inderpuri, New Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No. 2.

The complainant/Respondent No. 2 Smt. Sreyoshi Verma is present in person and is identified by her counsel. She states that the Petitioners and Respondent No.2/Complainant have entered into a Compromise. In terms of the settlement, marriage between the Petitioner No. 1 and Respondent No. 2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent on 1st November, 2004. As part of full and final settlement of all the claims of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No. 1 has paid ₹42,000 out of which ₹30,000/- have already been paid to her and remaining amount of ₹12,000/-

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

3

have been paid in cash in Court Today. The custody of the child master Vaibhav would remain with the Respondent No. 2. She further states that in view of the settlement between the parties, she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.


In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, 3/2000 under Sections 498A/406 IPC registered at PS Inderpuri, New Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

NOVEMBER 25, 2011
'dk'


MUKTA GUPTA, J



Identified the Respondent No-2


Dileep Kumar
EN No- D-1311/E/02


Sushma Arora

I identify the Petitioner 1 & 2
Sushma Arora (D/680/2006)