

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 2097/2011

MANJU GUPTA & ANR.

Through

..... Petitioner

Mr. Lalit Gupta, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

Through

..... Respondent

Ms. Fizani Hussain, APP for the
State (Proforma party)
None for Respondent No.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.L. MEHTA

ORDER

02.11.2011

%

No one is present for respondent no.2 despite service. The petition is against an order dated 13th May, 2011 whereby the petitioners and one Ram Murthi were summoned under Section 341/354/506 IPC in a complaint case No. 469/1 of 2005 filed against them by the respondent J.P. Sharma.

I have perused the complaint as also the impugned order.

Respondent No.2 made a complaint on 11th April, 2005 before the police alleging therein that accused Ram Murthi and the person who used to wash the vehicles of Surender Nath (petitioner herein), used to tease his daughters. No FIR came to be registered on this complaint by the police. The allegations levelled in this complaint are vague and abstract. The MM has passed the impugned order in routine which is not substantiated by any substantive evidence against the petitioners and


Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 28.08.2024 16:49:39
Certify that the digital and physical file have
been compared and the digital data is as per
the physical file and no page is missing.

Ram Murthi.

The petition is allowed. The summoning order mentioned above is quashed.

Order dasti.


M.L. MEHTA, J

NOVEMBER 02, 2011
'raj'