

I-25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ EX.P. 147/2011

REENA MEHTA

..... Decree Holder

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

UPINDER MAIRA & ORS

..... Judgment Debtors

Through:

Mr. Girdhar Govind, Advocate for
the respondent No.1.

Ms. Reena Jain Malhotra, Advocate
with Ms. S.Datta, Advocate for the
respondent No.2.

Mr. K.K.Patra, Ms. Aprajita Swarup,
Advocates for the respondent No.3.

Mr. Harish Malhotra, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Rajender Agarwal,
Advocate for the respondent No.4
and Ms. Vandana Maira, R-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REVA KHETRAPAL

ORDER

%

02.11.2011

Two pay orders drawn on the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation Limited, both dated 21.10.2011, in the sum of INR 22,600,000/- each have been handed over by the learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2 to the petitioner in Court today, which have been accepted by the

EX.P. 147/2011

Page 1 of 3

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

3

petitioner. Likewise, two pay orders drawn on the same Bank, also dated 21.10.2011 in the sum of INR 22,600,000/- each have been handed over by the learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2 to the counsel for the respondent No.3 today, which have been accepted by the counsel for the respondent No.3. Yet another pay order drawn on the same Bank dated 21.10.2011 in the sum of INR 22,600,000/- is handed over to the respondent No.4 - Ms. Bandana Maira, who is present in Court today and has accepted the same.

The counsel for the parties are agreed that after payment of the aforesaid pay orders, a sum of ₹ 66,000/- each remains due and payable to the petitioner by the respondents No.1 and 2, that is, in all ₹ 1,32,000/-. It is also agreed that likewise a sum of ₹ 66,000/- each shall be paid by the respondents No.1 and 2 to respondent No.3, that is, in all a sum of ₹ 1,32,000/-. The respondent No.2 shall also pay a sum of ₹ 66,000/- to the respondent No.4 — Ms. Bandana Maira. ✓

The counsel for the parties agree that after the aforesaid payments are received by the petitioner and the respondents No.3 and 4, no outstanding of whatsoever nature will be left and the execution petition shall stand satisfied and also that the petitioner and the respondents No.3 and 4 shall have no claim

whatsoever left against the suit property and/or against the Judgment Debtors.

In view of the aforesaid settlement arrived at between the parties, the petition is disposed of as satisfied.

A copy of this order be given *dasti* to the counsel for the parties, as prayed.


REVA KHETRAPAL, J.

NOVEMBER 02, 2011
ak

- From E. A. No. 724/11
JS. 151C No. 151C & D-1