

\$~37

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ RC.REV. 197/2011 and CM No. 20901/2011

BK GARG

Through: None.

..... Petitioner

versus

BHAGWAT DAYAL

Through: Mr. R.K. Jain and Mr. Brijesh Kr. Gupta, Advocates.

..... Respondent

CORAM:**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR****ORDER**% **22.11.2011**

Learned counsel for the respondent has pointed out that the directions contained in the order dated 19.08.2011 have not been complied with and the user charges in the sum of Rs.5,000/- per month have not been paid; contention of the respondent is that the protection granted to the tenant against dispossession should now be withdrawn. The order dated 19.08.2011 not having been complied with the interim protection granted to the tenant is accordingly withdrawn.

None has appeared for the Petitioner. It is obvious that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the present litigation.

Petition is dismissed in default as also for non-prosecution.

Copy of this order be given dasti under the signatures of the Court Master

INDERMEET KAUR, J

NOVEMBER 22, 2011
rb