

1
\$~
*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CONT.CAS(C) 343/2011

LATA GROVER & ANR Petitioners/Relators
Through: Mr. T.D. Yadav, Adv.

Versus

DR. ATUL MURARI & ANR Respondents/Alleged Contemnors.
Through: Mr. Jatan Singh, Adv. for UOI.
Mr. R. V. Sinha, Adv. for R-1.
Mr. L.K. Garg, Adv. for R-1 & 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER
20.07.2011

%

1. The counsels for the respondents / alleged contemnor no.1 & 2 states that though there was some delay owing to release of funds by the Government but the order dated 7th January, 2010 in W.P.(C) No.8720/2009 of which contempt was averred has been complied with. The counsel for the petitioners/relators also admits that the salary has been received till the month of June, 2011. He however avers delay.

2. Some proposals have been given by the counsels for the respondents no.1 & 2 to obviate such delay in future. However in this contempt jurisdiction, the order of which contempt is sought, cannot be varied.

Signature Not Verified


Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

Liberty is granted to the parties to make appropriate application in this regard in the writ petition.

3. No case for proceeding further owing to the delay occasioned in complying with the order is made out. The petition is disposed of.

JULY 20, 2011

bs



RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J