

4
\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW
DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 1213/2011

GURDIAL SHARMA & ANR. Petitioners
Through: Mr.R.K.Tewari, Advocate

versus

STATE Respondents
Through: Ms.Fizani Hussain, APP
Mr.Vishva Wadhwa, Adv
For NDPL

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.L. MEHTA

ORDER
17.11.2011

%

The counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that a sum of Rs.21086/- which was a demand as per provisional bill dated 23.3.1999 has been already deposited by the petitioner.

The counsel for the NDPL says that the NDPL is not in possession of the record of its erstwhile predecessor DVB. The same is endorsed by the affidavit of Mr.Kulvinder Singh, working

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

as HOG-FACG with NDPL.

There is no reason to disbelieve counsel for the petitioners and also the bill a copy of which is placed on record. In view of the above, the counsel for the respondent has no objection for passing appropriate order.

In view of the above, consequently, the FIR bearing No.156/1999 under Section 39/44 I.E.Act r/w Section 379 IPC registered at PS Kirti Nagar stands quashed.


M.L. MEHTA,J

NOVEMBER 17, 2011

SV