

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CO. A(SB) 4/2008 & CO. APPL. 216/2008

SUHAS CHAKMA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, Advocate.

versus

SOUTH ASIA HUMAN RIGHTS DOCUMENTATION
CENTRE PVT. LTD. & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Anuradha Mukherjee, Advocate
with Ms. Jyoti Dastidar, Advocate.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN****ORDER**

%

08.11.2011

Today, in Court, the costs imposed vide order dated 07th July, 2011 has been paid to the learned counsel for respondent.

With consent of both the learned counsel, the impugned order dated 26th November, 2007 passed by the Company Law Board is set aside in view of the judgment passed by this Court in Co. A(SB) 9/2011 titled as *Charanjit Khanna & Ors. Vs. M/s. Khanna Paper Mills Ltd. & Ors.*, decided on 20th April, 2011 and the appellant is given liberty to file a consolidated Company Petition under Section 397 and 398 read with Section 111A of the Companies Act, 1956.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, learned counsel for appellant states that the appellant would file a fresh composite petition within a period of four weeks.

Both the learned counsel request the Court that the aforesaid order should be without prejudice to their rights and contentions and they should be given liberty to raise all issues before the Company Law Board.

With the aforesaid liberty, present appeal and pending application are disposed of

Needless to say, the Company Law Board shall decide the matter without being influenced by any observations made by this Court.


MANMOHAN, J

NOVEMBER 08, 2011

js