

4~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CONT.CAS(C) 40/2011 & C.M.20709/11

RAM KUMAR AGGARWAL

..... Petitioner

Through: None

versus

DDA & ORS

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Arun Birbal, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

16.11.2011

%

C.M.20709/11

This application has been preferred by the petitioner to initiate proceedings for perjury against the respondent on the ground that it had been wrongly stated before this Court that the respondent is preferring a Special Leave Petition before the Supreme Court whereas the said petition had already been preferred and dismissed on 08.08.2011. The aforesaid statement had been made before the Court on 12.09.2011.

Mr. Birbal submits that the respondents were not aware of the dismissal of the SLP before the Supreme Court when the said statement was made. He submits that the respondents have in any

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

case issued a fresh demand letter bearing No.72023 in respect of LIG flat no.144, Pocket 3, Block E, Sector-18, First floor, Rohini, Delhi at the rate prevalent in 1996 in terms of the order of this Court dated 09.09.2010. A copy of the said allotment letter has been tendered in Court and taken on record. The petitioner is not appearing when the matter is being called out. It appears that he does not wish to pursue the ~~work~~ petition on account of the aforesaid development. The petition as well as the application are accordingly dismissed for non-prosecution.



VIPIN SANGHI, J

NOVEMBER 16, 2011
as