

5.

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CONT.CAS(C) 17/2011

DHARAM PAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Prakash Gupta, Advocate

versus

SHAILENDRA KUMAR BUDHALAKOTI & ANR..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Chandan Kumar, advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

21.11.2011

%

Counsel for the respondents submits that the judgment of this Court dated 19.05.2010 in W.P(C) No.1134/2004 stands fully complied with. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute this position. However, he submits that the petitioner has subsequently been issued with a letter dated 25.08.2011 directing the petitioner to have his suitability checked for alternative absorption of medically de-categorised Group D staff. He submits that this is absolutely contrary to the award and the judgment of this court. Mr. Chandan Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent submits that this communication was wrongly issued and was withdrawn and, that the same will not be issued again.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of.

NOVEMBER 21, 2011


VIPIN SANGHI, J

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.