

2

\$~24-33, 35-39, 67-71

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ LA.APP.24/2009

RAJ SINGH & ORS.
Through:

..... Appellant

versus

UOI & ANR.

Through: Respondents
Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.25/2009

CHOTU & ANR.
Through:

..... Appellants

versus

UOI & ANR.

Through: Respondents
Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.34/2009

SHRI BRAHMA
Through:

..... Appellant

versus

UOI & ANR.

Through: Respondents
Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

Page 1 of 8

+ LA.APP.36/2009

RISHI RAJ RANA & ANR.
Through:

..... Appellants

versus

UOI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through:

Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.47/2009

M.P.GUPTA

..... Appellant

Through:

versus

UOI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through:

Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.48/2009

RAGHUBIR SINGH

..... Appellant

Through:

versus

UOI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through:

Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.55/2009

BHULLAN @ BHULE & ANR.
Through:

..... Appellants

versus

UOI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.56/2009
M.P.GUPTA & SONS Appellants
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.57/2009
MEHAR SINGH & ORS. Appellants
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.58/2009
SANJEEV TUSHIR Appellants
Through:

5

Versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.127/2009
JAI NARAYAN & ORS Appellants
Through:

Versus

UOI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.85/2009
LAKHI RAM Appellant
Through:

Versus

UOI & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.78/2009
GURDEV SINGH Appellant
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.77/2009
RAJ SINGH (D) THRU LRS Appellants
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.76/2009
NISHA GUPTA Appellant
Through:

Versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.340/2010
RATTAN SINGH Appellant
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate
and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

7

versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.341/2010

CHARAN SINGH & ORS. Appellants
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate
and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.342/2010

RAGHUBIR SINGH Appellant
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate
and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.343/2010

ANGOORI & ORS. Appellants
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate
and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

+ LA.APP.344/2010

HEM CHANDER SHARMA DECD.
THR LRs Appellant
Through: Mr.Kuldeep Balhara, Advocate
and Mr.Mukesh Gupta, Advocate.

versus

UOI & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjay Poddar, Advocate,
Mr.Ramesh Ray, Advocate and
Mr.Ashish Tanwar, Advocate for UOI.
Ms.Indrani Ghosh and Ms.Shobhna
Takiar, Advocate for DDA.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

ORDER
02.06.2011

%


1. The instant appeals pertain to lands situated in the revenue estate of village Ghevra, and the notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act 1894 with which I am concerned was issued on 6.2.2003.
2. The Reference Court has assessed the compensation @ ₹1,18,367/- besides other statutory benefits as per the Land Acquisition Act 1894.
3. Deciding a batch of appeals lead matter being LA.APP

No.489/2008 Chiranji Lal Vs. UOI & Anr. today itself, with reference to a decision dated 19.12.2008 passed by a Division Bench deciding LA.APP.No.193/2006 Pratap Singh (through LRs) Vs. UOI & Ors. I have determined the fair market value of the lands in village Ghevra at ₹4,03,425/- per bigha as of 6.2.2003.

4. The appeals stand disposed of decreeing the same enhancing the compensation payable to the appellants @₹4,03,425/- per bigha; additionally decreed that the appellants would be entitled to the statutory benefits as per the Land Acquisition Act 1894 including the decision of the Supreme Court reported as 93 (2001) DLT 569 Sunder Vs. Union of India.

5. The appellants would also be entitled to proportionate costs.

6. Pending applications, if any, in the appeals stand disposed of in terms of the prayer made in the application.



PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.

June 2, 2011
dk