

33.

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.REV.P. 756/2010

BHUPENDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Mahavir Sharma, Advocate.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through : Ms. Meera Bhatia, ASC for State with
Mr. Roshan Kumar, Advocate for R-2 to R-4.

CORAM:

JUSTICE SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA

ORDER

%

06.12.2010

Crl.MA 18155/2010 (exemption)

Application allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

CRL.REV.P. 756/2010 and Crl. M.A. No. 18154/2010 (delay)

This revision petition has been preferred against the order of learned Additional Sessions Judge in which directions to the SHO to examine the petitioner and examine Mr. M.S. Vats Returning Officer were given.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the directions of learned Additional Sessions Judge reflect as if the investigation of FIR No. 327/2008 has been ordered.

I consider this to be a misconception in the mind of learned counsel for the petitioner.

CRL.REV.P. 756/2010

page 1 of 2

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AJULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

2

The directions clearly specify that it is the petitioner and the witnesses mentioned by the petitioner are to be examined by SHO and since the revision was in concern of the order passed in the complaint, the directions are in respect of the complaint only.

With these observations, the petition and the pending application is dismissed.

DECEMBER 06, 2010

AK.


SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA, J