

39

#

% 15.11.2010

Present: Mr. D. Hasija & Mr. S.N. Pandey, Advs. for the Petitioners.

Mr. Arvind Gupta, APP for the State with Inspector Shiv Singh, EOW/IO.

Mr. Abhishek Srivastava, Adv. for respondent No. 2 with respondent No. 2 in person.

+ Crl. M.A. No. 17346/2010 (exemption)

*

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

Crl. M.C. No. 3549/2010

On the complaint of respondent No. 2, FIR in question was registered against the petitioners. It was alleged that the petitioners had taken a sum of ₹2,50,000/- from respondent No. 2 in order to arrange a Visa in her favour. Now, petitioners and respondent No. 2 have settled their disputes, inasmuch as petitioners have refunded the amount of ₹2,50,000/- to respondent No. 2. Respondent No. 2, who is present in the Court today, admits having entered into compromise with the petitioners. Respondent No. 2 states that she has no objection in case FIR in question is

Signature Not Verified


Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

✓
quashed.

Keeping in mind the above facts and in the larger interest, I quash the FIR No. 591/2005 under Sections 406/420/506/34 IPC P.S. Malviya Nagar registered at police station Malviya Nagar and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, subject to the condition that each of the petitioners shall deposit a cost of ₹15,000/- each with the Advocates Welfare Fund, Delhi High Court. Receipt evidencing deposition of cost be filed in the Registry within ten days.

Crl. M.A. No. 17345/2010 (stay)

Application is dismissed as infructuous.


A.K. PATHAK, J.

NOVEMBER 15, 2010
rb