



3#

\$~

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CRL.REV.P. 741/2007

BANI SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Lalit Kr. Laam, Advocate

versus

STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Manoj Ohri, APP for the State with Insp.

J.D. Meena, PS Sangam Vihar, Delhi.

Mr. Anil K. Gujral, Advocate for R-2 to 4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

01.11.2010

Learned counsel for the Petitioner says that in this case the Respondent was already discharged for offences committed under the Provision of Section 3 of the Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989. The present Revision Petition is against the said order of discharge. The parties have entered into a settlement and in terms of the settlement, as recorded in order dated 20th May, 2010, learned counsel for the Petitioner wants to withdraw the present Revision Petition. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that he would also withdraw his complaint pending before the learned Metropolitan Magistrate for the offences relating to IPC.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the Petitioner, the Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.


MUKTA GUPTA, J.

NOVEMBER 01, 2010/vn

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.