$~
*
51
+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

W.P.(C) 7171/2010

ANIL KUMAR ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. S.K. Sinha, Advocate

versus

ADDITIONAL COMMSSIONER OF POLICE AND ORS ..... Respondents
Through Mr. N. Waziri with Mr. Shoaib Haider, Advocate

With

55
+

W.P.(C) 7175/2010

BAL KISHAN SHARMA ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. S.K. Sinha, Advocate

versus

ADDITIONAL COMMSSIONER OF POLICE AND ORS ..... Respondents
Through Mr. N. Waziri with Mr. Shoaib Haider, Advocate

With

56
+

W.P.(C) 7176/2010

JAYANTI PRASAD ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. S.K. Sinha, Advocate

versus

ADDITIONAL COMMSSIONER OF POLICE AND ORS ..... Respondents
Through Mr. N. Waziri with Mr. Shoaib Haider, Advocate

With

57

W.P.(C) 7177/2010

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed By:AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and physical file have been compared and the digital data is as per the physical file and no page is missing.

VIJAY KUMAR ..... Petitioner

Through Mr. S.K. Sinha, Advocate

versus

ADDITIONAL COMMSSIONER OF POLICE
AND ORS ..... Respondents
Through Mr. N. Waziri with Mr. Shoaib Haider, Advocate

With

58
+ **W.P.(C) 7178/2010**

JAI PAL ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. S.K. Sinha, Advocate

versus

ADDITIONAL COMMSSIONER OF POLICE
AND ORS ..... Respondents
Through Mr. N. Waziri with Mr. Shoaib Haider, Advocate

And

59
+ **W.P.(C) 7179/2010**

MANOHAR LAL AHUJA ..... Petitioner
Through Mr. S.K. Sinha, Advocate

versus

ADDITIONAL COMMSSIONER OF POLICE
AND ORS ..... Respondents
Through Mr. N. Waziri with Mr. Shoaib Haider, Advocate

CORAM: JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

ORDER

% **26.10.2010**

1. Notice. Mr. N. Waziri, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Respondents.

2. It appears to this Court that the only reason why the licences have not been granted to the Petitioners is that they do not have no objection certificate ('NOC')

from the Fire Department. The Fire Department did in fact inspect each of the Petitioners' shops and had listed out certain defects. It is not clear whether the inspection report of the Fire Department was communicated to each of the Petitioners.

3. For avoiding any further delay, this Court directs that within next two days each of the Petitioners will attend the office of the Station House Officer ('SHO'), Police Station Lajpat Nagar, New Delhi and get a copy of the inspection report of the Fire Department in each of the Petitioners' cases. It will be open to the Petitioners to rectify the defects within next one day thereafter and report back to the SHO about having rectified the defects. The Fire Department will thereafter undertake another inspection of the Petitioners' shop and deliver an NOC or otherwise to the SHO within one day thereafter.

4. If the Petitioners are still aggrieved by the decision of the Fire Department not granting licence for any reason, it will be open to them to seek appropriate remedies, including appearing before the Joint Commissioner, Delhi Police, that may be available to them in law.

5. The petitions are disposed of in the above terms.

6. Order be given dasti under the signature of the Court Master.

S.MURALIDHAR, J

OCTOBER 26, 2010
rk