

\$-19

07.10.2010

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Mittal with Mr. Kshitij Mittal, counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Navin Sharma, counsel for the State.

Crl. M.A. No. 16163/2010

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Bail Appl. No. 1653/2010

Notice.

Mr. Navin Sharma, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the State.

The present petition is filed by the petitioner under Section 438 r/w Section 482 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail.

The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner is working as Superintendant at Prayas Observation Home. The Hon'ble Chief Justice of this Court when reached there for a Meeting alongwith the Presiding Officer of Juvenile Justice Home and some other Officers, the inmates of Prayas Observation Home started shouting and abusing, as a result of which, the Hon'ble Chief Justice had to leave the premises without completing the proceedings. At that time, the petitioner also came there and he tried to pacify the inmates but after he entered the Home, the children became more violent and they started raising slogans in favour of the petitioner and they also started banging the doors with rods and dandas and damaged the furniture, papers and

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: A. JULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

property of Prayas Observation Home. Thereafter, the children also put on fire the office of Juvenile Justice Board in the presence of police officers. Some of the children were also raising slogan in favour of the petitioner and hitting their head and hands against the windows and damaged the windows and doors. They also started throwing computer out of the boundary walls and also broke the windscreen of the Govt. vehicle parked in front of the Prayas Observation Home.

The allegations against the petitioner are that these inmates had become violent and damaged the property only at the instigation of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

There is no merit in the petition. The same is, therefore, dismissed.

OCTOBER 07, 2010

KA


S.L.BHAYANA,J