

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of Reserve: 6th December, 2010

Date of Order: 8th December, 2010

+ Bail Application No. 1606/2010

%

08.12.2010

Suresh & Ors.

... Petitioners

Through: Mr. J.S.Kushwaha, Advocate

Versus

The State

... Respondent

Through: Mr. O.P.Saxena, APP for the State

JUSTICE SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporter or not?
3. Whether judgment should be reported in Digest?

JUDGMENT

The applicants/petitioners who are facing trial under Section 302 IPC have sought bail during pendency of the trial on the ground that the evidence recorded so far does not show involvement of the petitioners. I have perused the statement of PW-1 Shanti Devi and the statement would show that the claim made by the Counsel for the applicants that no evidence had come against the accused was totally false. Not only Shanti Devi supported the prosecution's case but even other witnesses also supported the case of the prosecution. The testimony of Shanti Devi cannot be doubted because she is one of the injured who received 25% burn injuries at the hands of the accused, while the deceased received 100% burn injuries and died. I find no force in the application. The bail application is hereby dismissed.

December 08, 2010

vn

SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA, J.