

3

8.

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ RC.REV. 231/2010 & CM No. 17419/2010

NK PURI

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Tarun Diwan, Adv.

versus

KULBHUSHAN SINDWANI

..... Respondent

Through : Mr. Akshay Makhija with
Mr. Vikas Bhadauria and
Ms. Amisha Gupta, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE VEENA BIRBAL

O R D E R

%

16.11.2010

Counsel for parties have stated that the parties have settled the matter as the respondent has agreed to give time to the petitioner to vacate the premises by 30.11.2011.

Counsel for petitioner submits that in view of above settlement between the parties, petitioner is not pressing the present petition and petition be disposed of in terms of settlement stated above.

In view of above statement given by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition as well as pending application stand disposed of in terms of their settlement.

Petitioner is granted time to vacate the premises in question till 30.11.2011, subject to filing usual undertaking by


4

the petitioner within two weeks from today.

Renotify on 01.12.2010.

Parties to also appear on the said date.

In the meantime, respondent shall not execute the
eviction order till the next date of hearing.



VEENA BIRBAL, J

NOVEMBER 16, 2010
kks

— Undertakings filed
by the petitioner & respondent