

16.

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ RC.REV. 229/2010

ASHOK RAHEJA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.K. Bhattacharya with  
Mr. Niraj Bobby, Adv.

versus

PRAKASHWATI GUPTA

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sunil Malhotra with  
Ms. Sonali Malhotra, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE VEENA BIRBAL

ORDER  
09.11.2010

%

RC.REV. 229/2010 & CM 17275/2010

After some arguments counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not pressing the petition on merits and prays that petitioner be given some time to vacate the premises in question.

The respondent is present in person. Under the instructions from the respondent, the counsel for the respondent states that the respondent has no objection in granting time to the petitioner up to 10.05.2013 and the respondent will not execute the eviction order till then.

In view of above statement given by the counsel for parties the present petition and pending application stand disposed of. The petitioner is granted time till 10.05.2013 to

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA  
Certify that the digital file and  
physical file have been compared and  
the digital data is as per the physical  
file and no page is missing.

vacate the premises in question subject to filing of usual undertaking by the petitioner within two weeks with a copy to the respondent. In the meanwhile, the respondent shall not execute the eviction order till the next date of hearing.

Renotify on 01.12.2010. The parties to appear in person on the next date.

  
VEENA BIRBAL,J

NOVEMBER 09, 2010  
srb

— Undertaking filed