

2.

\$~

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6188/2010 &amp; CM No.12251/2010 (for interim relief)

SMT. SUNEETA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. H.R. Singh & Mr. Manoj Kumar  
Barhela, Advocates

versus

MCD

... Respondent

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, Advocate  
along with Mr. B.B. Bhardwaj,  
Licence Inspector, MCD.**AND**

3.

+ W.P.(C) 6197/2010 &amp; CM No.12266/2010 (for interim relief).

SH. CHHOTU

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. H.R. Singh & Mr. Manoj Kumar  
Barhela, Advocates

versus

MCD

... Respondent

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, Advocate  
along with Mr. B.B. Bhardwaj,  
Licence Inspector, MCD.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW****ORDER****24.09.2010**

%

1. The counsel for the respondent MCD under instructions from Mr. B.B. Bhardwaj, Licence Inspector, MCD states that the stalls of the two

petitioners still exist though all others have been demolished and removed. The counsel for the respondent MCD states that the stalls of the petitioner are also required to be removed, notice to the petitioners shall be given and action against the petitioners shall be taken in accordance with law.

2. Accepting the aforesaid statement of the respondent MCD and with which the respondent MCD is bound, the petitions are disposed of leaving the parties to bear their own costs.

*Dasti.*

**RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW,J**

**SEPTEMBER 24, 2010**

pp

