

\$~38

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 3596/2010, CrI. M.A. No. 17501/2010

PRAN PAHUJA

Through Mr. Adiya Kala, Adv.

..... Petitioner

versus

STATE

Through Mr. Sunil Sharma, Addl. PP for the State

..... Respondent

CORAM:
JUSTICE SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA

ORDER
19.11.2010

%

The petitioner is aggrieved by an order of learned MM whereby the prayer of the petitioner under Section 156(3) Cr. P.C. for registration of FIR was turned down by the MM and the MM thought it proper to record evidence himself under Section 200 Cr. P.C.

The Revision against the order of MM, filed by the petitioner before the Court of learned ASJ did not succeed. The petitioner ^{is} now before this Court.

It is the discretion of the MM either to get an FIR registered on a complaint made by the petitioner or to proceed in accordance with other provisions of Cr. P.C. and record evidence of the petitioner. As the learned MM has chosen other course, this Court finds no jurisdictional error or illegality in the order of MM or ASJ. The petition stands dismissed.

The case of the petitioner is fixed before the Court of MM for evidence on February 11, 2011. The petitioner shall produce entire evidence before the Court of MM on the date and the learned MM shall record evidence as produced by the petitioner.

NOVEMBER 19, 2010
acm


SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA, J

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.