

*** IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

Date of Reserve: 8th October, 2010

Date of Order: 27th October, 2010

+BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1454/2010

%

27.10.2010

SHIV KUMAR ALIAS MOTO

... Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.S. Gupta and Mr. Madan Mohan,
Advocates

Versus

STATE

... Respondents

Through: Mr Sunil Sharma, Addl. PP

JUSTICE SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporter or not?
3. Whether judgment should be reported in Digest?

JUDGMENT

1. This application for bail has been made by accused who is facing trial under Section 376 IPC. Statement of prosecutrix has already been recorded. It is stated by counsel for the applicant that from the testimony of prosecutrix recorded and from the other documents, it was a fit case for grant of bail.

2. I have gone through the testimony of prosecutrix. The prosecutrix had broadly supported her case of forcible rape having been committed by the accused. It would not be appropriate to analyze the statement of prosecutrix at this stage and to give a finding as to what is the worth of her statement as it

would not be in the interest of accused himself. However, I do not find it appropriate to grant bail to accused. The application is dismissed.

OCTOBER 27, 2010
acm

SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA, J.