

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

Date of Order: 1st September , 2010

+ Crl. Misc (M) No.2834/2010

%

Shri Chhote Lal @ Chota Lal & Others

01.09.2010

...Petitioners

Versus

The State & Anr.

...Respondents

Counsels:

Mr. Ashok Kumar Tiwari for petitioners
Mr. Sunil Sharma, APP for State/ respondent.

JUSTICE SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporter or not?
3. Whether judgment should be reported in Digest?

ORAL

1. This petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C has been preferred by the petitioners for quashing the FIR No.627 of 2007 under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Mandawali, Delhi on the ground that the parties, after registration of present FIR, have compromised the matter and the complainant and the accused/petitioner husband after amicably settling all their disputes started living together.

2. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have settled all their disputes inter se and husband and wife both are living together, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.627 of 2007 under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Mandawali, Delhi and the proceedings emanating therefrom qua the petitioners are hereby quashed.

3. The petition stands allowed.

September 01, 2010
rd

SHIV NARAYAN DHINGRA, J