

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

MACA No.636/2010

Date of Decision: September 24, 2010

SUNITA

..... Appellant
through Mr. S N Parashar, Advocate

versus

SACHIN SHARMA AND ORS.

..... Respondents
through Ms. Suman Bagga, Advocate for
Mr. Kanwal Chaudhary, Advocate for
Respondent no.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE REKHA SHARMA

1. Whether the reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the 'Digest'?

REKHA SHARMA, J. (ORAL)

The appellant has preferred this appeal against the impugned award dated April 15, 2010 whereby a total compensation of ₹ 25,000/- has been awarded to the appellant on account of injury suffered by her in a road accident.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that the appellant had sustained grievous injuries and had remained in the hospital for 3 days yet only ₹ 5,000/- has been awarded to her on account of pain and suffering.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the Insurance Company is directed to pay another sum of ₹ 5,000/- to the appellant towards pain and suffering along with interest thereon @ 7.5% per annum.

With this direction, the appeal is disposed of.


REKHA SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 24, 2010
PC.