

33.

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 1999/2010 and CrI.M.A. 7698/2010

BALDEV SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Nandita Rao, Advocate

versus

DIRECTORATE OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Satish Aggarwala, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

O R D E R

% 27.09.2010

Counsel for the respondent states that he has filed a reply to the petition in the Registry on 25.09.2010. The same is not on the record. Copy thereof is handed over and taken on record with a copy to the counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for the respondent states that he has obtained instructions from his client pertaining to the claim of the petitioner that the case is not being tried expeditiously. He submits that in the present case, the petitioner was found in possession of 26.633 kg. heroin on 02.06.2009. Charges for the offence punishable under Section 21 of the NDPS Act were framed on 15.02.2010. Between 17.03.2010 and 30.07.2010, 4 out of 25 witnesses cited by the

**CRL.M.C. 1999/2010**

**Page 1 of 2**

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA  
Certify that the digital file and  
physical file have been compared and  
the digital data is as per the physical  
file and no page is missing.

prosecution, have been examined. On one occasion, the cross-examination of the witness was deferred at the request of the petitioner. It is further stated that the matter has been listed regularly by the trial court and the last date of hearing in the case was only day before yesterday, i.e., 25.09.2010.

Having regard to the averments contained the reply, this Court is satisfied that the trial court is conducting the matter promptly and there is no delay either attributable to the Court or to the respondent in the trial.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of alongwith the pending application.



HIMA KOHLI,J

SEPTEMBER 27, 2010  
rkb